The Vancouver Sun

Division of Canwest Publishing Inc.

2010 Wintergames

Today is Tuesday November 3, 2009

Children shouldn't start school until age six, study says

By Janet Steffenhagen 15 Oct 2009 Report Card

Earlier this month, Canwest New Service published a story that began:

Despite the tough economic times and Victoria's empty bank account, the government insists it will still bring in all-day kindergarten in B.C., starting next fall.

Then today, Reuters produced the following:

Children should delay the start formal schooling to the age of six, a year later than at present, the largest review of primary education in England for 40 years recommended on Friday.

The 608-page Cambridge University study said introducing children at the age of five into the constraint and discipline of a classroom — a throwback to Victorian days — provided little benefit and could even be harmful.

"They are not going to learn to read, write and add up if you have alienated children by the age of four and five," said **Gillian Pugh**, chairwoman of the Cambridge Primary Review's advisory committee.

"That's the stage at which we are tuning children into learning ... If they are already failing by the time they are 4-1/2 or five, then it's going to be quite difficult to get them back into the system again," she added.

Although the authors of the report stopped short of recommending a rise in the starting age of compulsory schooling from five, they called for an "open debate" on the issue. They said children up to the age of six should instead be given the more informal, play-based education typically found in nurseries.

"Extending the early years phase to age six or seven would give schools up to three years to work with children to establish positive attitudes to learning and the necessary language and study skills which are crucial to raising standards in the long term," the review

It said England's tradition of starting school at five, shared in Europe only by Wales, Scotland and the Netherlands, dated from the requirements of Victorian factory owners in the 1870 Elementary Education Act.

"Five was picked not for education or child-development reasons, but in an attempt to service the demands of industry ... Clearly the earlier children started, the sooner they would finish."

Schooling starts at the age of six in 20 out of 34 European countries, with eight nations, including Sweden, waiting until children are seven.

The Cambridge review, based on three years' research and 31 interim reports, found that primary schools in England were "in good heart and in general doing a good job."

But it found that more than a decade of central policy initiatives under three successive Labour governments had created a "state theory of learning".

The study called for ministers to step back from trying to control classrooms from Whitehall.

"The principle that it is not for government or government agencies to tell teachers how to teach, abandoned in 1997, should be reinstated," it said.

The study called for a review of the way primary schools are staffed, saying that the model of having a generalist teacher in charge of each class was another hangover from the Victorian era when it was seen as the cheapest way of instructing children.

It said primary schools could teach a broader curriculum with a mixture of specialist and general staff, although this might increase costs.

The review said national SATS exams taken by primary pupils should be replaced by teacher assessment and that the school league tables based on them should be abandoned.

School performance and pupils' national attainment should instead be monitored by sample testing.