

The battle lines have been drawn in the sandboxes. As a new curriculum for under-5s is to be ready by September, early years experts are getting passionate over the definition of play.

The Early Years Foundation Stage brings together guidance for under-3s and over-3s. It sets standards for children to reach by the age of 5: they should be able to write their own name and understand the difference between right and wrong, for instance.

The framework will become statutory for childminders and all state, private, voluntary and independent nurseries.

The debate is between two camps: the first fears that the new educational outcomes for 5-year-olds - which are now linked with developmental milestones for babies - will result in the end of childhood. The reason, they say, is because these young children will suddenly find themselves in a world where hitting targets will be more important than play. A conference in London tomorrow will discuss this view.

The second camp eschews such an apocalyptic approach. They argue that the framework, which



Related articles

Parents can compensate for poverty

- Nurseries are best for under-fives
- It's early days for early years
- More Articles

encourages children to make dens, dress up, dance and sing, will enhance children's play and make it more meaningful.

Sue Palmer, a literacy consultant and author of Toxic Childhood, said: "Play can mean anything that isn't work. The important difference is between free-flow play and structured play. The foundation stage is about structured play. It is play controlled by adults. It is predicated on an educational approach and that is what I'm opposed to. The reading and writing targets dominate it. I want children to read and write, but I think what is stopping them is the loss of other parts of childhood."

Kathy Sylva, professor of educational psychology at Oxford University, disagrees. She said: "The settings where 3- and 4-year-olds have very good educational as well as social and behavioural outcomes, have about a fifty-fifty balance between activities guided by the teacher and free play. Guided doesn't mean sitting on the mat. Baking a cake is something children couldn't do in free play."

In a paper for the Cambridge University primary review, Usha Goswami of Cambridge University and Peter Bryant of Oxford Brookes University concluded that thinking, reasoning and understanding can be enhanced by imaginative play, but to do this the teacher does need to intervene.

While play arouses passions in a way no other aspect of the framework has, there are other issues for debate. Supporters and opponents share a concern over the literacy goals. The foundation stage states children aged 5 should be able to read a range of familiar words and use some phonic knowledge to do so. The more fundamental objection is whether there should be a national curriculum for preschool children at all. The 23 Steiner schools do not teach reading until 7. They do not want to be forced to follow a curriculum which expects children to begin phonics by 5.

But inspectors' reports appear to back the need for some sort of standardisation in the early years. In 2005, Ofsted visited 45 day nurseries during the first hour of the day. It found that a third had inadequate care at that time.

The Government's 10-year childcare strategy revealed that those working with pre-school children include both poorly paid unqualified staff to teachers. In 2002, around 30 per cent of staff in day nurseries were unqualified.

Iram Siraj-Blatchford, professor of early childhood education at London's Institute of Education, said: "I think the foundation stage is too heavy on literacy for children from 40 to 60 months. But I would not jump from that to say deregulate the whole private and voluntary sector and not have this framework."

So, will the foundation stage lead to less play or more? There are concerns that one child may spend less time being a superhero and more time "writing", but there is also the desire that another child could be spending more time drawing cartoons and less time watching them.

Play is children's work. It is their way of learning and preparing for adulthood. Any curriculum will not in itself ensure or deny children play. That is down to the teachers involved.

Sodden son creates a learned splash



SocialBehavioural Outcomes in Year Five

- Influences on children's attainment progress in KS2
- Pupils' Self Perceptions
- More Resources

Join TES for free now



Four great reasons to join today...

1. Be part of the largest netw ork of teachers in the world – over 2m members

- 2. Dow nload over 600,000 free teaching resources
- 3. Get a personalized email of the most relevant resources for you delivered to your inbox.
- 4. Find out first about the latest jobs in education
- It's free to join us on TES and it only takes 30 seconds (we've timed it!)

AQA² We're right behind teaching

There we were, me and my son who is almost 2, sitting outside a cafe enjoying the unexpectedly warm February sunshine, his trousers, vest and T-shirt spread out to dry on the surrounding table and chairs.

In his mind, he'd leapt into a magic puddle which to his shock or, quite possibly overwhelming joy, did not simply splash around his ankles but rose almost to his bottom.

I was rather less excited about his jump into a water feature.

Funnily enough, puddles are mentioned in the Early Years Foundation Stage curriculum - that document creating waves among those concerned with how we treat our youngest children outside the home. Apparently, splashing in puddles is an example of creativity and critical thinking.

"Children may need to run, jump and walk through puddles many times to check out what happens. In this way they begin to understand more about the effect of force on water," the document says.

So was my sodden son doing more than just playing? Should the Government insist that all children jump in puddles each day to improve their knowledge and understanding of the world? Should there be a puddle-splashing scale? Should targets be set to ensure your child is sufficiently puddle-aware at 5?

Hopefully, such tests are some way off, but consider this: while puddlesplasher was drying off, his 3-yearold sister was "reading" to her toy rabbit from her new book, Goldilocks and the Three Bears. Would you consider her game important enough for the Government to insist that all children have books to read to toy rabbits? Should there be a reading ability scale? Should targets be set to ensure your child is sufficiently skilled in reading by 5?

It's all play to children, but adults are different. In England, monitoring puddle-jumping seems absurd, while assessing reading is compulsory.

Perhaps the most important thing my son learnt that day was that however deep the water - what you need is balance.

Helen Ward.

Climb the career ladder with TES

SUBSCRIBE TO TES MAGAZINE - WEEKLY ADVICE AND GUIDANCE TO HELP YOU IN THE JOB YOU LOVE



Rate this story $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$ Add your comment

 $\star \star \star \star \star \star$ as yet unrated

that inspires

open in browser PRO version Are you a developer? Try out the HTML to PDF API

(Submit)	 	
Submit 🕨		

tes on twitter home | subscribe | advertise | contact | t&cs | privacy | cookies | site map | link to us | tes on twitter

TES Editorial © 2014 TSL Education Ltd. All pages of the Website are subject to our terms and conditions and privacy policy. You must not reproduce, duplicate, copy, sell, resell or exploit any material on the Website for any commercial purposes. TSL Education Ltd, registered in England (No 02017289) at 26 Red Lion Square, London WC1R 4HQ